

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. 10cr4246 JM  
11 Plaintiff, )  
12 v. )  
13 BASAALY MOALIN et al., ) **ORDER FOLLOWING IN CAMERA**  
14 Defendants. ) **REVIEW**  
15 )  
16 )

17 On January 28, 2013, Defendants Basaaly Moalin, Mohamed Mohamed Mohamud, Issa Doreh  
18 and Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud (collectively “Defendants”) filed a motion entitled “Defendant’s  
19 (sic) Joint Motion for Court Ordered Remedies to Address the Government’s Violation of Brady v.  
20 Maryland (“Motion”).” The Government opposed the Motion and, on January 30, 2013, the court  
21 entered an order addressing the Motion and requesting the Government to make several in camera  
22 submissions. Upon review of the in camera materials, this order follows.

23 The Redacted Emails

24 Upon review of the original emails, the court concludes that they need not be produced to  
25 Defendants pursuant to Brady, Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 16, or the Jencks Act. Furthermore, relevant  
26 portions of the emails, albeit in redacted form, have already been produced to Defendants and are, in  
27 that sense, cumulative.

28 // /

1     The General Assessment Questionnaire (“GAQ”)

2                 Upon review of the original GAQ, the court concludes that the GAQ need not be produced to  
3 Defendants pursuant to Brady, Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 16, or the Jencks Act. Furthermore, relevant  
4 portions of the GAQ have already been produced to Defendants and are, in that sense, cumulative.

5                 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6     DATED: February 4, 2013



7  
8                 Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller  
9                 United States District Judge

10                 cc:             All parties

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28